

A-Level Biology

How to Answer Every Question - Exam Technique Guide

Edexcel Biology (9BI0)

A-Level

9 question types

THE NILE METHOD - every question, every time

1. Read the COMMAND WORD first - it tells you the skill (state / explain / evaluate).
2. Check the MARKS - roughly one developed point (or mark-scheme line) per mark.
3. Match the ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES the marks reward (AO1 knowledge / AO2 application / AO3 evaluation).
4. PLAN before long answers - jot points, then write in clear PEEL / chain-of-reasoning paragraphs.
5. Use precise terminology, evidence and (where relevant) named studies or data.
6. For evaluation, write developed chains and a justified judgement - not a list.

Multiple choice · “Select the correct answer”

TESTS	AO1 recall and AO2 reasoning · 1 mark each (Papers 1-3 open with MCQ)
METHOD	1. Read the stem fully and decide your own answer BEFORE looking at the four options. 2. Treat each option as a true-or-false statement; cross out the ones you can fault. 3. For data or calculation stems, work the figure on the question paper, then match it to an option. 4. If two options look close, find the single word or value that makes one wrong and eliminate it. 5. Never leave it blank - once you are down to two, commit; there is no negative marking.
STRUCTURE	Eliminate three distractors, then shade ONE lozenge fully. If you change your mind, fully erase the first mark.
MODEL	<i>A respiring cell raises its rate of glycolysis. The product entering the link reaction is pyruvate (option C) - not lactate, ethanol or acetyl coenzyme A, which form later or anaerobically.</i>
PITFALLS	› Picking the first option that sounds right without checking the other three. › Leaving two lozenges partly shaded so the scanner reads neither.

Name / state recall · “Name / State / Give”

TESTS	AO1 knowledge · usually 1-2 marks
METHOD	1. Give the exact biological term - one precise word or short phrase is enough. 2. Match the number of answers to the marks: 2 marks means two distinct points. 3. Do not write a sentence or an explanation; a name or state question rewards recall only. 4. Use correct spelling for terms the mark scheme will not accept loosely (mitosis, meiosis, glycogen, glucagon).
STRUCTURE	One labelled term per mark, separated clearly. No working, no justification.
MODEL	<i>Name the bond joining two amino acids: a peptide bond. State the type of reaction that forms it: condensation.</i>
PITFALLS	› Confusing similar-sounding terms such as glycogen and glucagon, or mitosis and meiosis. › Writing a long explanation for a 1-mark name and still missing the actual term.

Describe · “Describe”

TESTS	AO1 knowledge · 2-4 marks; says WHAT happens, in order
METHOD	1. Identify the trend, structure or process the question asks you to set out. 2. Give one creditworthy point per mark, in a logical sequence. 3. Quote the data where a graph or table is given - state values with units and the manipulated variable. 4. Use precise terms (active transport, semi-conservative, depolarisation) rather than vague description.
STRUCTURE	Point 1 → Point 2 → Point 3, each a separate mark-scheme line. For graphs: overall trend, then any change of gradient, then a figure with units.
MODEL	<i>The rate rises steeply from 0 to 30 °C, peaks at about 40 °C, then falls sharply to zero by 60 °C - a typical enzyme temperature-rate curve.</i>
PITFALLS	› Explaining WHY when the command is only describe - you earn no extra marks and waste time. › Describing a graph in words without quoting a single value with its unit.

Explain · “Explain”

TESTS	AO1 and AO2 · 3-4 marks; link a REASON to a RESULT
METHOD	1. Spot the observation or result the question gives you - that is what you must account for. 2. Build a cause-to-effect chain: each step must connect to the next with 'so', 'because' or 'therefore'. 3. Name the biological mechanism (diffusion gradient, enzyme-substrate fit, water potential) driving each step. 4. End the chain back at the stated result so the examiner sees the reasoning is complete.
STRUCTURE	Cause → mechanism → consequence → observed result. Every link earns a mark only if it is justified, not merely stated.
MODEL	<i>Adding salt lowers the water potential outside the cell, so water leaves the cytoplasm by osmosis down the gradient, the cell loses turgor and the tissue becomes flaccid.</i>
PITFALLS	› Describing the change instead of explaining it - listing events with no 'because'. › Breaking the chain by skipping the mechanism, e.g. jumping from salt to flaccid with no osmosis step.

Application / Suggest · “Suggest”

TESTS	AO2 applied to an unfamiliar context · 2-4 marks
METHOD	1. Accept you are not expected to recall the answer - apply known biology to the new scenario. 2. Read the stem for the specific detail (organism, tissue, condition) and use it explicitly in your answer. 3. Transfer a principle you do know (selective reabsorption, negative feedback, natural selection) onto the case. 4. Give a plausible, biologically reasoned suggestion - several valid answers may be credited.
STRUCTURE	Relevant principle → applied to the stem's specific detail → logical consequence in this context.
MODEL	<i>The drug may block the sodium ion channels, so depolarisation cannot reach threshold, no action potential passes along the neurone and the pain signal is not transmitted.</i>
PITFALLS	› Giving a generic textbook answer that ignores the named organism or condition in the stem. › Stating a guess with no biological mechanism behind it.

Calculation - magnification, rates, ratios · “Calculate”

TESTS	AO2 quantitative skills · 2-4 marks; working is credited
METHOD	1. Write the formula first, e.g. magnification = image size ÷ actual size, or rate = change ÷ time. 2. Convert all measurements to the SAME unit before substituting (mm → µm: × 1000). 3. Substitute clearly, then compute; carry units through every line of working. 4. Round to the significant figures or decimal places the question specifies and state the unit. 5. Sense-check the magnitude - a cell magnification should be hundreds or thousands, not 1.5.
STRUCTURE	Formula → unit conversion → substitution → answer with unit and correct significant figures. Show every line so method marks survive a wrong final value.
MODEL	<i>Image = 40 mm = 40000 µm; actual = 50 µm. Magnification = 40000 ÷ 50 = × 800.</i>
PITFALLS	› Mixing units (mm with µm) so the answer is out by a factor of 1000. › Writing only the final number - no working means no method marks if it is wrong.

Statistics - chi-squared and standard deviation · “Calculate / Analyse”

TESTS	AO3 statistical analysis and conclusion · 3-5 marks
METHOD	1. Choose the right test: chi-squared (χ^2) for categorical counts against an expected ratio; standard deviation to show the spread of a mean. 2. State the null hypothesis: there is no significant difference between observed and expected. 3. Substitute into $\chi^2 = \sum (O - E)^2 \div E$, computing each category before summing. 4. Find degrees of freedom (categories - 1) and read the critical value at p = 0.05. 5. Compare: if χ^2 exceeds the critical value, reject the null hypothesis - the difference is significant.
STRUCTURE	State H_0 → tabulate O, E and $(O - E)^2 \div E$ → sum → degrees of freedom → compare with critical value at p = 0.05 → accept or reject H_0 in words.
MODEL	<i>$\chi^2 = 3.2$; degrees of freedom = 1; critical value at p = 0.05 is 3.84. As 3.2 is less than 3.84 we accept H_0: the deviation from the 3 : 1 ratio is not significant.</i>
PITFALLS	› Computing χ^2 but never comparing it to the critical value or stating a conclusion. › Forgetting the degrees of freedom, so the wrong critical value is read off the table.

Data and graph analysis · “Analyse / Evaluate the data”

TESTS	AO3 interpretation of experiments and results · 3-5 marks
METHOD	1. Quote specific figures from the graph or table - values with units and the variable they belong to. 2. Describe the relationship (positive, negative, plateau) then go beyond it to interpret what it means biologically. 3. Use error bars or standard deviation to judge whether differences are significant or could be chance. 4. Evaluate the method: comment on controlled variables, sample size, anomalies and reliability before concluding. 5. Only state a conclusion the data actually support - say if the evidence is insufficient.
STRUCTURE	Quote data with units → state the trend → interpret biologically → evaluate reliability or validity → cautious, evidence-based conclusion.
MODEL	<i>Yield rises from 12 to 28 g as nitrate increases to 60 mg dm⁻³, then plateaus; the overlapping error bars above 60 mg dm⁻³ suggest that further increase has no significant effect.</i>
PITFALLS	› Describing the line shape without quoting a single value or unit. › Ignoring error bars or sample size and so over-claiming a 'significant' difference.

Extended response - 6 to 9 marks · “Discuss / Evaluate / Explain (extended)”

TESTS	AO1, AO2 and AO3 with marks for sustained reasoning · 6-9 marks (levels-based)
METHOD	1. Plan three to five linked points before writing - the marks reward a logical, connected line of reasoning, not a list. 2. Cover the breadth the question demands: if it says 'explain and evaluate', do both sides. 3. Develop each point as a chain: claim → biological mechanism → evidence or example → consequence. 4. Use precise terminology throughout - this is what lifts an answer into the top level. 5. For 'discuss' or 'evaluate', weigh the arguments and finish with a justified conclusion.
STRUCTURE	Brief framing → 3-5 developed chains with explicit links between them → a reasoned judgement that answers the exact question. Top-level marks need sustained logic, not scattered facts.
MODEL	<i>Aerobic respiration yields far more ATP than anaerobic because the electron transport chain and oxidative phosphorylation generate roughly 34 ATP, whereas anaerobic glycolysis nets only 2; therefore tissues with high energy demand, such as cardiac muscle, depend on a continuous oxygen supply.</i>
PITFALLS	› Writing a list of correct facts with no links - this caps you in the lowest level. › Answering only half a two-part command (explaining but never evaluating). › Vague terms ('it makes energy') instead of named processes (oxidative phosphorylation).