

A-Level Physics

How to Answer Every Question - Exam Technique Guide

Edexcel Physics (9PH0)

A-Level

8 question types

THE NILE METHOD - every question, every time

1. Read the COMMAND WORD first - it tells you the skill (state / explain / evaluate).
2. Check the MARKS - roughly one developed point (or mark-scheme line) per mark.
3. Match the ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES the marks reward (AO1 knowledge / AO2 application / AO3 evaluation).
4. PLAN before long answers - jot points, then write in clear PEEL / chain-of-reasoning paragraphs.
5. Use precise terminology, evidence and (where relevant) named studies or data.
6. For evaluation, write developed chains and a justified judgement - not a list.

Multiple choice · “Which / Select the correct option”

TESTS	AO1/AO2 recall and quick reasoning · 1 mark each, Section A of Paper 3 and scattered in Papers 1 and 2
METHOD	1. Read the stem and decide what is actually asked before glancing at the options. 2. Work the physics yourself on the side - do a quick calculation or sketch - rather than pattern-matching an answer. 3. Use units and powers of ten to eliminate distractors: wrong-unit or wrong-magnitude options are deliberate traps. 4. Cross off the impossible, then choose between what remains; never leave a 1-mark item blank. 5. Transfer one clear letter to the grid and check it matches your working.
STRUCTURE	Decode the question → solve independently → eliminate distractors by unit and magnitude → commit to a single letter.
MODEL	<i>A photon has energy $3.0 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$. Using $E = hf$, $f = E / h = 3.0 \times 10^{-19} / 6.63 \times 10^{-34} \approx 4.5 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}$, so the visible-light option is correct, not the radio or X-ray distractors.</i>
PITFALLS	› Picking the first plausible option without doing the working - distractors are designed to look reasonable. › Ignoring the power of ten and choosing a value that is right in digits but wrong by a factor of 10^n .

State / define · “State, Define, Name, Give”

TESTS	AO1 recall of definitions, laws and quantities · 1 to 2 marks
METHOD	1. Give the exact textbook statement - no scenario, no padding. 2. For a defined quantity, state what it is the ratio of, including the condition (for example 'per unit ...'). 3. Quote the unit only if the question asks for it, and use correct index notation. 4. Keep it to one precise sentence; a longer answer risks contradicting the mark point.
STRUCTURE	One mark-scheme-matched sentence stating the definition or law in full, with the defining condition included.
MODEL	<i>The electromotive force of a source is the energy transferred to electrical energy per unit charge driven around the circuit, measured in volts (J C^{-1}).</i>
PITFALLS	› Defining e.m.f. as a 'force' - it is an energy-per-charge, not a force. › Omitting the 'per unit ...' part, so the definition is incomplete and scores zero.

Multi-step calculation · “Calculate, Determine, Find”

TESTS	AO2 selecting and applying equations · 2 to 5 marks, units and significant figures assessed
METHOD	1. Quote the equation in symbols first (from recall or the data-formulae booklet). 2. Rearrange algebraically before substituting, then put in values with consistent SI units. 3. Convert prefixes and powers of ten (cm → m, kW → W, $\mu \rightarrow 10^{-6}$) before the numbers go in. 4. Evaluate, then attach the correct unit and round to the same significant figures as the data (usually 2 or 3). 5. Sanity-check the magnitude - is 10^8 m s^{-1} physically sensible for a speed?
STRUCTURE	Equation → rearrange → substitute SI values → evaluate → unit and significant figures → quick plausibility check.
MODEL	<i>Kinetic energy: $E_k = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 = \frac{1}{2} \times 0.045 \times (38)^2 = 32.49 \text{ J} \approx 32 \text{ J}$ (2 s.f.), the same precision as the data given.</i>
PITFALLS	› Rounding intermediate values early, which shifts the final answer - keep full precision until the last line. › Dropping the unit or quoting too many significant figures, both of which lose the final mark.

Show that · “Show that, Verify”

TESTS	AO2 reaching a quoted value, proving you can do the steps · 2 to 4 marks
METHOD	1. Treat the target value as confirmation, not as something to substitute in - work toward it independently. 2. Lay out every line of working so the route to the value is fully visible. 3. Carry one extra significant figure beyond the quoted answer so the agreement is convincing. 4. Finish by writing your computed value next to the target and stating that they agree.
STRUCTURE	State equation → substitute → evaluate to one extra significant figure → compare with the quoted value and confirm.
MODEL	<i>Show that the acceleration is about 6 m s^{-2}: $a = (v - u) / t = (24 - 6) / 3.0 = 6.0 \text{ m s}^{-2}$, which agrees with the value of about 6 m s^{-2}.</i>
PITFALLS	› Plugging the given answer back in instead of deriving it - examiners credit the route, not the destination. › Rounding to the quoted figure too soon so the working cannot be seen to land on the value.

Explain · “Explain, Justify, Account for”

TESTS	AO1/AO2 linked reasoning from cause to effect · 2 to 4 marks
METHOD	1. Identify the physics principle the question is built on (a law, conservation rule or relationship). 2. Build a linked chain: each statement must cause the next, using connectives such as 'because', 'therefore', 'so that'. 3. Refer to the named quantities in the stem rather than answering in the abstract. 4. Make sure the chain ends on the effect the question asks about, closing the logical loop.
STRUCTURE	State the principle → because ... → therefore ... → so the stated effect follows, each link tied to the named quantity.
MODEL	<i>As the resistor heats up, lattice ions vibrate with greater amplitude, so electrons collide more frequently; this raises resistance, therefore for a fixed voltage the current falls.</i>
PITFALLS	› Describing what happens instead of explaining why - a description with no causal links scores AO1 only. › Breaking the chain by leaving out a step, so the conclusion no longer follows from the start.

Graph and data analysis · “Plot, Determine the gradient, Use the graph”

TESTS	AO2/AO3 handling linear graphs, gradients, intercepts and error bars · 3 to 6 marks
METHOD	1. Choose scales that fill at least half the grid and plot points to within half a small square. 2. Draw a single best-fit line balancing points either side; add error bars where uncertainties are given. 3. For the gradient, draw a large triangle (over half the line) and compute $\Delta y / \Delta x$ with units from the axes. 4. Read the intercept only where $x = 0$ truly lies; if the axis is offset, calculate it from $y = m x + c$. 5. Link the gradient and intercept back to the physics by comparing the data with the rearranged equation.
STRUCTURE	Sensible scales → best-fit line with error bars → large-triangle gradient with units → intercept → map m and c onto the equation.
MODEL	<i>For a stretched spring, plotting F against x gives a straight line; gradient = $\Delta F / \Delta x = (4.8 - 0.0) / (0.12 - 0.0) = 40 \text{ N m}^{-1}$, which is the spring constant k.</i>
PITFALLS	› Using a tiny gradient triangle, which magnifies reading error and loses accuracy marks. › Quoting the gradient with no unit, or reading the intercept off an offset axis instead of calculating it.

Practical and method evaluation · “Describe the method, Evaluate, Suggest an improvement”

TESTS	AO3 planning, measurement and uncertainty (CPAC-linked) · 3 to 6 marks
METHOD	1. Name the apparatus and the exact quantity each instrument measures, with its resolution. 2. Identify the independent, dependent and control variables, and a fair way to vary and measure them. 3. Reduce uncertainty: repeat and mean, take readings over large intervals, and use methods such as fiducial markers or timing many oscillations. 4. Identify the dominant source of uncertainty and evaluate its effect, then suggest a targeted improvement. 5. Combine percentage uncertainties when asked, adding them for quantities multiplied or divided.
STRUCTURE	Apparatus and resolution → variables and control → uncertainty-reduction technique → dominant error → justified improvement.
MODEL	<i>Time 20 oscillations and divide by 20 to cut the percentage uncertainty in the period; using a fiducial marker at the centre of the swing makes the timing of each pass consistent.</i>
PITFALLS	› Vague 'be accurate' or 'use better equipment' with no named technique gains nothing. › Naming a random error reducer (repeats) to cure a systematic error such as a zero offset, which repeats cannot remove.

Six-mark extended response · “Explain fully, Discuss, Evaluate (6 marks)”

TESTS	AO1/AO2/AO3 sustained, well-linked argument marked by levels · 6 marks
METHOD	1. Plan three or four distinct physics points before writing so the answer has a logical line of reasoning. 2. Develop each point as a chain - statement, the physics that causes it, then its consequence - not a bare list. 3. Use correct terminology and, where useful, a supporting equation or named relationship. 4. For 'evaluate', weigh both sides and reach a justified judgement rather than stopping at the points. 5. Keep the whole answer coherent and on-question; level 3 needs sustained, connected reasoning throughout.
STRUCTURE	Brief plan → three or four developed chains in a logical order → balanced weighing if evaluative → coherent concluding judgement.
MODEL	<i>In a transformer, the alternating primary current produces a changing magnetic flux in the core; by Faraday's law this changing flux links the secondary and induces an e.m.f., so a laminated core matters because it reduces eddy-current heating and keeps efficiency high.</i>
PITFALLS	› Writing a list of disconnected facts - level 3 requires sustained, linked reasoning, not bullet points in prose. › Running out of time or omitting the judgement on an 'evaluate', which caps the level reached.